



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/662,071	09/15/2003	Perry Robichaud	ROBICHAUD	9795

7590 03/24/2004

Mike M. Gauthier
1264156 Ontario Incorporated
o/a Deviat
959 Elisabetha Street, Unit C,
Sudbury, ON P3A 5K1
CANADA

EXAMINER

ROWAN, KURT C

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3643

DATE MAILED: 03/24/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/662,071

Applicant(s)

ROBICHAUD, PERRY

Examiner

Kurt Rowan

Art Unit

3643

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-7 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on ____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date ____.
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____.
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) ☐ Other: ____.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the mating channel, ratchet mechanism, plurality of teeth, a reversed pattern of teeth, turn bolt, threaded stem, threaded opening, lower rearmost surface, rearward hook portion, horizontal perforation, lock portion, axle housing, axle, locking latch assembly, and pedal must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: on page 7, lines 1-3, recite "connecting members 62 and 63, connecting members 60, and struts 62, 63.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

3. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 1 ends in a ",",. It should end in a --.--. Further, in line 5, " a boat-attaching having" should be --a boat-attaching portion--. In claim 1, several different elements have the same name such as axles and axle housings appear in the foot pedal assembly and the net holding

Art Unit: 3643

portion. Care should be taken so that these elements are not confused. Appropriate correction is required.

4. Claim 6 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The same subject matter recited in claim 6 is found in claim 1.

5. Claim 7 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c) as being in improper form because a multiple dependent claim 7 should refer to other claims in the alternate only. See MPEP § 608.01(n). Accordingly, the claim has not been further treated on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

7. Claims 1-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 1, page 11, lines 1-3, "and also adapted with a hinge and latch pins" is confusing. Also, "the upper half of the net holding portion is adapted with a mating hinge" is confusing. The term "adapted" is usually taken to mean capable of performing a function. Should "adapted" be changed to –connected–
? In lines 10-11, " a horizontal perforation a lock portion" is confusing.

8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the planar member" in lines 11-12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Art Unit: 3643

9. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the net holding portion" in line 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

10. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the very top" in line 7 of page 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

11. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the locking latch assembly" in lines 13-14 of page 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

12. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the foot pedal portion" in line 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

13. Claim 4 recites the limitation "the boat-attaching portion " in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

14. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the net-tipping portion" in line 12. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

15. Claims 1-7 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Conclusion

16. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patents to Rodrigues, Allen, Breneman, Mandolare, Moliskey and Plath show the state of the art.

Art Unit: 3643

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kurt Rowan whose telephone number is 703 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter Poon can be reached on 703 308-2574. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



Kurt Rowan
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3643

KR